

Meeting Agenda Academic Senate

Martin V. Smith Decision Center
Tuesday, 29 August 2023; 2:30-4:30pm

This meeting offers a virtual option for members and visitors through Zoom meeting software:
<https://csuci.zoom.us/j/83715994872>

Senators Present: Adler, Anderson, T. Avila, Baker, Berkowski, Castillo, Chavarria, Clobes, Correia, Deans, Delgado Helleseter, Denton, Dixon, Estrada, Ferns, Francois, Grzegorczyk, Itkonen, Jiménez, Kaltman, Kee-Rose, Kenny Feister, Lee, Collazo, Luna, Matera, McColpin, McGrath, Miller, Munroe, Navarrete, Pehlivan, Pereira, Profant, Scholl, Sherman, C. Smith, W. Smith, Weis, White, Wood, Wyels, County, Forest, Rivas, Slocum, Fitzpatrick, Andrzejewski, M. Avila, DeGraftenreid, Grove, Guerrero, Guzman, Ford Turnbow, Hasendonckx, Kohli, Lavariega Monforti, Luna, Neto, Perchuk, Reilly, Yao

Senators Absent: Bueno, Caravello, Sherman

Guests: Sunshine Garcia, Sandoval, Buller, Paolillo, Lamb, Flores, Delany, Edwards, Perry, Raymond, Alamillo, Dobson, Gonzalez, Patterson, Frisch, Kramer, Harris

1. Opening the Meeting

Called to order 2:40PM

2. Approval of the Agenda

Motion: Periera, 2nd by Itkonen

Approved by unanimous consent.

3. Approval of the Minutes from Tuesday, 18 April 2023, and Tuesday, 2 May 2023

Motion: Anderson, 2nd by Weis

Adopted by unanimous consent.

4. Report from the Chair

Office hours in BTW 1102 on Tuesdays and Thursdays 9AM – 12PM

Need to fill committees, find the information on newly updated website.

Always copy senate@csuci.edu when emailing.

- how do we ask for proxy?
- Inform Chair at least two (2) days in advance by email, copy senate@csuci.edu.
- large number of abstentions last year, check with people you're representing, try to vote.
- airtime does not seem even and items on floor do not seem to be evenly distributed.
- Added in bylaws that chair can request answer, not opinion, to go around bylaws re 5min max per senator
- Everyone has the chance to talk, timers have been used to track the 5 minute limit.
- Can we send zoom invite and materials to all faculty?
- No. Meeting materials are shared with senate-announce-1@lists.csuci.edu, and they have date, time, location, and Zoom URL. To 'invite' all faculty would verge on spamming and clutter the 'attendee' list.
- admin needs to invite officers to exec meetings. As I understand representation, we do not go in as individuals but as representatives, please invite chairs of committees and exec to meetings and notify their committees, bylaws may need to be changed to provide equity.

5. New Business

Weis moves to waive first reading for both the Ed.D. and the MPA. Itkonen seconds.

- I am concerned about this motion especially after hearing about the Ed.D. from the public news. Feel like Senate is being used as rubber stamp for the administration.
- Registrar provided some context about the work that would need to be done to make the program available to students; sounded like a challenge even if the programs were approved today.
- Oppose motion because its new program and people haven't had chance to read it yet. Not enough time to review. I Don't like setting this precedence.
- From my time as history, I would like to see budget cost analysis prior to vote. President requires budget cost analysis prior to making decisions, faculty should as well. Sometimes we need new programs that cost more than we bring in. Don't want to see current programs harmed. I would like to see information prior to first and second meeting.
- Seeking clarification 2 senators spoke previous on deadlines; can you repeat?
- deadline is September 18th to get into CO by October 1st opening.
- needs to be entered into CSU database after CO approval.
- Everything on web, timeline and info link in chat , once sent to CO it will be in our system as pending CO approval, needs to work expeditiously.
- Budget... per legislation that guides this degree.. tuition has to match doctorate in UC system. Substantially more money than what our degrees bring in currently. Interesting question about senate rolls here. We got it into LCC and received feedback, changes made, went into next stage on March 2nd via LCC. Goes into sand in gears, what is the roll of senate, is this where we build curriculum? Or is it roll of LCC. Back in the day it was senate but as we expanded we moved it to LCC to open senate for more business.

- Addressing comments made. Concerned about rush of timeline and vote if we're just the rubber stamp. I understand LCCs have done work.
- Normally not to argue against reading of 1st item. But considering the deadlines we should make an effort to streamline the work on this and we may not have expertise to argue the proposals, especially after many years of not starting new programs.
- What is the point of the senate approving the programs? Not going point by point nor course by course but senators to look at big picture. We need the time to look at the program and whether or not we want to support it. Would not worry on the program side for Senate approvals, senate might bring good points that can add to it. Strongly suggest voting down waiving the first reading.
- Agreed. We should vote down the motion to waive. We need to do due diligence. These items were brought to senate last year but not as first reading.
- Against the motion. We've done this in the past, waiving a program's 1st reading, and turned out we did not have funding. Not advised in budget crisis.
- Normally I don't suggest these things. it generates \$12,000 per student and by second year it will bring in money, it's been approved by our budget people.
- Brought to deans last year.

Miller suggested it's time to vote. Asked for unanimous consent and Grzegorczyk objected.

Yes: Avila, Castillo, Chavarria, Clobes, Correia, Delgado Helleseter, Denton, Dixon, Estrada, Itkonen, Jiménez, Kaltman, Kee-Rose, Kenny Feister, Lee, Collazo, Luna, Matera, McColpin, Navarrete, Pehlivan, Scholl, Sherman, Smith, Weis, White, Wood, Forest, Rivas, Slocum

No: Adler, Anderson, Berkowski, Deans, Francois, Grzegorczyk, McGrath, Munroe, Pereira, Profant, Smith, Wyels

Abstain: None

Rollcall vote with 30 voting 'yes' and 12 voting 'no'. Motion passes. Both program proposal are receiving a second reading.

a. First Reading: New Program “Long Forms” (Second readings expected 9/12/23)

Motion to approve both: Weis, 2nd. Seconded by Scholl.

Wyles makes a motion to amend by separating the consideration of the two programs, starting with the Ed.D. Seconded by [missing]. Weis and Scholl withdraw their motion.

- Weis: despite all the steps done properly last year it did not make it to the floor in March like it was supposed to. Approved by budget persons, and everyone but senate. Across the state there are more students applying than other CSUs can accept.
- you said former Dean disbanded EdD because lack of faculty what's different now?
- I understand this one will be coming out of our area. Currently 18 graduates/year. Will we have enough students enrolled? Our job is to look at the whole program.

- If you look at the retirement rates .. the way legislation is written it must focus on p-20. Look at projections of retirement wave coming. we're not just looking at our students but whole state

Motion to extend meeting: by 15 minutes Wood, 2nd Clobes. Miller asks for unanimous consent. Deans objects.

Yes: Adler, Anderson, Avila, Berkowski, Castillo, Chavarria, Correia, Delgado Helleseter, Denton, Dixon, Estrada, Itkonen, Jiménez, Kaltman, Kee-Rose, Kenny Feister, Lee, Collazo, McColpin, McGrath, Navarrete, Pehlivan, Profant, Scholl, Sherman, Smith, Weis, Wood, Forest, Rivas, Slocum

No: Clobes, Deans, Francois, Grzegorczyk, Luna, Matera, Munroe, Pereira, Smith, Wyels, Fitzpatrick

Abstain: None

Motion passes with 31 voting in favor and 11 voting against.

- given our student population, many can't afford to move for degree programs. With our enrollment and retention crisis, we don't want to lose students to universities with more advanced degree offerings.

Castillo calls the question. Adler seconds. Miller asks unanimous consent to close debate. Someone objects. Roll call vote is taken on the motion to close debate.

Yes: Adler, Anderson, Berkowski, Castillo, Chavarria, Clobes, Correia, Denton, Dixon, Estrada, Francois, Itkonen, Jiménez, Kee-Rose, Kenny Feister, Lee, Luis Collazo, Luna, Matera, McColpin, McGrath, Munroe, Navarrete, Pereira, Profant, Scholl, Sherman, Smith, Weis, Wood, Wyels, Fitzpatrick, Forest, Rivas, Slocum

No: Deans, Delgado Helleseter, Grzegorczyk, Kaltman, Smith

Abstentions: None.

Motion passes with 35 voting in favor and 5 voting against.

Miller asks for unanimous consent on the motion to approve the Ed.D. program. Someone objects. Roll call vote is taken on the motion.

Yes: Adler, Anderson, Avila, Berkowski, Castillo, Chavarria, Clobes, Correia, Delgado Helleseter, Denton, Dixon, Estrada, Itkonen, Jiménez, Kaltman, Kee-Rose, Kenny Feister, Lee, Collazo, Luna, Matera, McColpin, McGrath, Navarrete, Pehlivan, Profant, Scholl, Sherman, Smith, Weis, Wood, Fitzpatrick, Forest, Rivas, Slocum

No: None

Abstain: Deans, Francois, Grzegorczyk, Munroe, Pereira, Smith, Wyels

The motion to approve the Ed.D. program passes with 35 votes in favor, none opposed, and 7 abstentions.

Wyels moved that the Senate approve the MPA program. Wood seconds.

Hearing no discussion, Miller asks to adopt the motion by unanimous consent. Without objection, the Senate approves the MPA by unanimous consent.

b. Senate meeting day and time for Spring 2023 (Miller)

Find an equitable time to meet. Earlier in the day. Bring this question back to the people you represent.

- Lecturers have more teaching load
- Lecturers often have a teaching schedule that is arranged semesters in advance.
- ASI Student Government meetings are Wednesdays 9-11.
- Staff council meets Tuesdays.
- Do not support moving it because it has been a real challenge to fit courses assignments around the current time.

6. Informational

a. Accreditation Report from Vice Provost Lavariega Monforti

Please note I am giving report for the Provost as well.

Accreditation items sent out, expect further communications. Lots of opportunities for persons to participate in self-study. We must turn in Institutional Report on Valentine's day. Look for upcoming gallery tours.

b. Call for faculty on Dean of Library and Learning Resource Center search committee

7. Report from President Yao

Yao: I would like to express appreciation for work done on reaccreditation. Work is vital. Biggest concern from 2015 really tightening up student learning outcomes. Get involved in cultural assessment piece.

8. Report from Provost Avila

9. Report from Statewide Senators

10. Report from CFA President

None

12. Intent to Raise Questions

Periera: deadline for self-nomination for faculty representatives on the search committee for the dean of the Library and Learning Resource Center are due Thursday.

Wyles: ITRQ – would like to know process to exception AB 1887.

- UC not considered state agency, CSU has difficulty doing. Repeal and report will have update on that. Because federal grants are administered state-side, those funds are considered state-funds.

Wyles: what's the process at CSUCI to get around state travel bans?

Anderson: concerns for recent programs rolled out has several snafus. Would like to know the process. Informing my thoughts on pushing programs through. Logistics have bogged down, potential staff burden.

Periera: The search for a founding faculty member in our Native American and Indigenous Studies Department was canceled. At present it appears there is no plan to re-run the search. How does this set us up for good curriculum?

Harris: We are going to start a search for a permanent AVP for faculty affairs. Can we get the timeline for that search and information about it earlier?

13. Announcements

14. Adjourn

Motion to adjourn by Francois and seconded by Collazo.

Miller adjourned the meeting at 4:33pm.