Indicator Project - Report Outline

Proposed Format

- 1. Executive Summary (5pp Carl/Tim/Bob)
- 2. Explanatory Section What is a Sustainability Indicator? (2 pp Bob)
- 3. Context Demographics, Locale, SOAR (3pp Tim/Todd)
- 4. Collaborative Design Process Council, Community, University (4pp Bob/Tim/John)
- 5. Indicator Matrix Economy, Environment, Equity (2pp Tim)
- 6. Set of Indicators (__ pp Tim/John)
- 7. Dissemination (2pp Carl)
- 8. Recommendations (1p All)
- 9. Bibliography (1p Carl)

Significant Issues

- 1. What we produced is not a typical, standard statistical measurement, but one that is multidimensional, non-ideological, and useful to the community and to policy makers.
- 2. Uniqueness of the indicators as an integrated set; no indicator can be considered by itself.
- 3. Limitation of the project design, i.e. specific to SOAR and Oxnard.
- 4. University-community partnership linking multidisciplinary technical expertise to a local policy framework.
- 5. Design and dissemination process yields a set of indicators with deeper roots in community life; a process that can promote local autonomy and sense of uniqueness, as each community develops its own set of indicators.
- 6. Public participation in refinement of indicators (mailing fiwebsite viewing fisurvey to determine critique/support/validation for indicator concept).
- 7. Dissemination and feedback (Poster, Internet, Power Point, Press Kit).
- 8. Promotion of ongoing monitoring, tracking, and interpretation as a relatively simple follow-up procedure.
- 9. Indicators well-suited as a discussion piece for neighborhood groups and non-governmental organizations as a way to inform dialogue on the

usefulness of sustainability as a perspective on change after SOAR, and perhaps civic engagement.

10. Custodial Relationship of the Sustainability Council (non-proft status, website).