

Garlin:

Quick question do we have an student loan fund on campus? An emergency student loan fund where students can borrow money?

McGough:

I don't know I will have to find that out.

Schelerth:

The Associated Students has one.

McGough:

So what you want a time for in the Senate is the report that you were asking about

Garlin:

Yes, and I can give it during my report.

Well you have a choice, but the items that we need to set before the Agenda are the emeriti, RTP Associated Students suggestions, and there was something else, who had something else, Les what is it?

Brooks:

It has something to do with Parking Fees

McGough:

You want Parking Fees on the Senate agenda

Brooks:

No, I wanted on our agenda

McGough:

Can it wait till next time

Brooks:

Sure

McGough:

Do you have something for the agenda?

Heft:

Well I got a call from Eric Carlson, and you all probably recall that he made an attempt to add something to the RTP policy during the Senate meeting last Thursday and it was kind of a sideways attempt. It was pretty much ignored. He has now written something up and it is not that big, sorry I couldn't print it out for you because I can't print he wants to add things to the criteria for evaluating services to the university mainly he wants to add a line advising students on their need to complete their education within the faculty discipline. I don't see anything wrong with that but as you know I don't advise students, so I would ask for you advise on how I would handle this.

Dreisbach:

Are we asking to put this into the criteria by which faculty are evaluated?

Heft:

Yes, for evaluated services to the University

Dreisbach:

Separate from student evaluations

Heft:

Yes, he is asking that it be added.

Dreisbach:

Can we do that?

Heft:

This is just an example of service of the university but are not limited to.

Dreisbach:

Ok but can we add that?

Moulton:

I am the student Liaison to the associated students and on Monday I will talk to him, but I think there are other ways to get advising approved rather than changing the RTP which already has it included in . I will make a recommendation for different advising practices on campus, I don't think we will need to go that way. That is the only thing he has heard and is focusing on it.

Heft:

I need to respond to him

Moulton:

Tell him I called him back and to call me again.

Garlin:

I think it is important to send FSAC and with Susan's committee and see if we can get Eric a little more on line on how we do this process. I think that he has a legitimate concern, we don't want to blow him off and on the other hand we don't want to tie up FSAC.

Merrifield:

Very hesitant having the students write the RTP document, adding anything whether or not they have the right to or not is not the issue. Eric talk to Susan and Susan to Eric these strike me as friendly amendments. And secondarily as I understand we are still under some time pressures to get this approved so that it can be implemented this semester

certainly the RTP document is a moving target. Something that is changing constantly getting those things to FSAC what ever may be appropriate

McGough:

Eric spoke to me this morning that this was a very focused procedural change in RTP there were plans for a larger revision maybe this belonged to that. If he brings this as an ammendment, do I allow a vote on it?

Dreisbach:

He can't ammend can he?

McGough:

Why not?

Dreisbach:

Because he is not a voting member, he can't make a motion

McGough:

Well lets assume someone makes a motion for him.

Merrifield: if someone makes a motion for him and its seconded. Then it goes into short and becomes part of. It gets put in then goes to a second reading and we can have discussion on it. But the question is can someone bring it forward for someone the answer is yes.

McGough:

I just want to know how to handle this, cause I don't want to embaress him by doing this out of commitment.

Heft.:

I agree but we have to get this thing through or not.

Brooks:

My question is when do we need to implement this, when does it need to be approved if we are going to use it next semester.

Heft:

Thursday

Brooks:

It has to be Thursday then or it is all for not.

Heft:

Then it is for next year if it is not approved Thursday

McGough:

I actually thought it needed to be approved last meeting

McGough:

Emeriti this could probably take two hours, the short news is that two names have been removed by Bill Howton. There is someone in Computer Science that left the university under questionable circumstances, so this issue is should he be granted emeritus status.

Luttmann:

Well I don't think that we want discuss it here. Because it should be discussed at the Senate.

McGough:

I have been directed to do it quickly but I can only do that if the VP in charge of Finance explains to the senate what was involved.

Merrifield:

I thought that I heard you say directed I was under the impression that we had a more confederated relationship with the statewide senate. Statewide academic senate does not in fact have the power to direct you as the chair, they can ask or request for you to provide information.

McGough:

I used the wrong language. She was telling me basically that the campuses were directed to have the Senate comment on it, from the chancellors office and she was alerting me to that.

Schelerth:

it is not so much directed, the decision to be a pilot campus is a big one to consider it is like being a first wave campus in cms if you can recall when we discussed being a first wave campus we had to make certain that everyone on campus was certain that they knew what the implications of this project were. IF you are a pilot you want to be successful as a pilot and you can not be successful unless everyone fully understands what the implications are. That is why it is suggested by the project steering committee that if you are considering being a pilot that your president is aware and is one hundred percent there, that your provost is aware and one hundred percent there, your deans are there, and that your academic senate is aware that you are going forward. Not that they have to sign off but that the campus is fully aware of what they are getting into. Phil I am happy to talk to the senate about cms and how it relates to the student module. However on the twenty-first I am not sure exactly what I would say because I don't know that much except that the CSU system is exploring how the module will be. I am in the dark at this point. I think that I will be better to have such a discussion but not right now. When I have more knowledge.

Armiñana:

It is absolutely too early to know. There are some concerns that the committee is looking at. Doing the student side different from the Human relations financial side. Human

Resources and Financial suppose to be independent. Realized you couldn't keep them separate and we brought them together. Into an understanding probably can not do the student side without human resources and financial coming to it. The way we have done human resources in this first wave is that there are 11 campuses and in a way 11 modules. Not necessarily drastically different but noticeably different. It seems from the staff view point doing the student firsts is so complicated that it would be too difficult to have 11 modules, so better to have a couple. They have not defined what a couple are. More than one and less than 11. It should take a combination of a larger campus and a smaller campus. Some combination, among the campuses that are best organized in human resources and finance. The chancellors office will play a larger financial role in supporting the pilot campuses. The big cost is in the cost of the consultants. You now know more than 18 other presidents and more than every faculty member in the system.

Goldstien:

Just to reiterate our relationship with the statewide senate. Statewide senate recommends, suggests, can provide information to the campus senates. There is no jurisdiction over the campus senates and vice versa.

Armiñana:

If this was to help one of the benefits is that it will bring student services a year ahead. That is appealing on a cost issues, for a campus like our it is appealing. The company that built our system no longer supports our system. I dread the beginning of the year especially with financial aid, it is almost a miracle that we can deliver financial aid to the students with the present system.

Brooks:

I can see there are benefits from this campus pilot program I see there are a lot of strategizing that needs to be done before we can decide for or against. Could you tell me how much money would be involved if the chancellors office didn't support us?

Armiñana:

Several billion dollars

Schelerth:

there are estimates all over the map. No campus can afford the student module. We don't know a number yet, but it is several million dollars. By not being a pilot do you differ the cost for a year or two.

Armiñana:

We do not have an option of opting out of student services. Tunnel that doesn't have a backout strategy. Issues when do you do it?

McGough:

There is actually a time certain for item 2. This policy on self support instruction. There is a cover letter what is important to know is somewhat a minor administrative issue but

to get closure on it. It is important to get closure on the audit the president is going to a trustees meeting next week. And it would be greatly to the advantage of the campus if this matter was brought to closure. So having said that lets have Les Adler who is the Dean of the school of extended education add to his letter.

Adler: The auditors came up with four findings in looking at our self support system before I interven two findings have been resolved. The two remaining findings are supposed to be resolved in the revision that is before you. The revision covers all the self-support instructions and the key elements are setting up a formal review process to determine how self supported the instructions are and how the monies are handled. All the money arrangements have been moved outside the foundation into trustfunds. If this policy is accepted the last two findings will be closed and the audit will be completed. John Hayes was involved in the detailed draft of the policy.

McGough: Could you explain how the new policies differ from the old policies?