

P.O. Box 5572  
Fresno  
CA 93755

13 May 80

Genny Smith

Dear Genny,

Enclosed are some SJW brochures. I am sorry about the lengthly delay in getting these to you. I knew that you had sent a follow-up note to Hal following my initial delay in responding, and I had thought that Hal had then sent you a supply. I was quite surprised to find that you still did not have any, as I had long since put it out of my mind as being something that was already taken care of.

I am also enclosing some SJWA envelopes and letterheads, as you may not have received any of these, either. You will note that the letterheads are an early version which lacks the Advisory Council names; these are the only ones I have, and I don't know who has the more recent ones.

Many thanks for your check which cleared up my outstanding SJWA bills. Everything seems to have sorted itself out, and I trust that there will be no further problems. Gary subsequently paid me \$38.94 for the telephone bills I had submitted on 31 August; this was part of what you had reimbursed me for, so I am enclosing my check to you for \$38.94---this will eliminate the double reimbursement which presently exists on those particular bills. I am sending a copy of this letter to Gary so he can update his records.

14 May

Just received a call from John Modin who is in Washington. An agreement has been made between Seiberling and McCoy (cemented in writing through an exchange of correspondence) which sets the proposed SJW boundary 300 feet west of the crest from Minaret Summit north, apparently to permit ski towers and other development to be placed on the crest. John wants to know the reaction of the SJWA Advisory Council to this, although our reaction may be moot at this point. (This agreement was apparently part of a package deal to buy off McCoy's opposition to wilderness on the ~~xx~~ west side of Mammoth Mountain.) I say our reaction may be moot because John's reaction (and mine upon his reading the letters to me over the phone) is that the decision is beyond influencing now; however, if we want to raise enough of a stink I guess the matter could be opened for reconsideration. You will recall that some of us, in the past, felt that development should be kept off the crest; John, and Ray (I believe), had suggested placing the line a short distance east of the crest to achieve this.

Also, John says Andy Wiessner feels that it is extremely important that we get Pete McCloskey's support. I know you have been working on this, but apparently it would be timely to redouble your efforts.

I will try to phone you about these matters, but am including it in this letter in case I don't reach you by phone.

Thanks again for cleaning up my bills.

George Whitmore