

A MORE IMPORTANT ISSUE---BOTH FOR OUR LOCAL ECONOMY AND THE ELECTION IS WHETHER LOCALS OR OUTSIDERS WILL MAKE THE DECISIONS. WILL WE DECIDE OUR OWN FUTURE OR ACCEPT A FUTURE THAT'S BEEN PREPACKAGED IN WASHINGTON OR SACRAMENTO???

MY OPPONENT COMES TO US FRESH FROM POLITICAL APPOINTMENTS IN THE NIXON ADMINISTRATION, IN WASHINGTON, D.C. BUT STRANGELY, HIS LABEL CLAIMS "REFORM CANDIDATE, MADE IN VENTURA, NO FAVORS OWED TO SPECIAL INTERESTS".

UNFORTUNATELY, BY LOOKING INSIDE HIS CAMPAIGN FUND, WE FIND THAT ALL THE CLAIMS ON HIS LABEL ARE FRAUDULENT. HIS MAIN CONTRIBUTORS ARE NOT LOCAL BUSINESSMEN AND FARMERS, BUT OUTSIDE CORPORATIONS AND LOBBYISTS. IN FACT, SINCE JUNE, OVER 75 PERCENT OF HIS MONEY HAS COME FROM SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS OUTSIDE THE COUNTY.

THE VOTERS HAVE A RIGHT TO KNOW WHY CORPORATIONS AND LOBBYISTS HAVE PAID CHUCK IMBRECHT OVER 22 THOUSAND DOLLARS SINCE JUNE. ARE WE SUPPOSED TO BELIEVE THAT THEY ARE PHILANTHROPISTS WHO ARE JUST INTERESTED IN GOOD GOVERNMENT???? ARE WE SUPPOSED TO BELIEVE THAT THESE FAT-CAT CONTRIBUTORS DON'T EXPECT ANY SPECIAL TREATMENT IN RETURN FOR THE THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS THEY HAVE PUMPED INTO THE REPUBLICAN CAMPAIGN?????

I AM PARTICULARLY DISTURBED AND ALARMED BY THE LARGE CONTRIBUTIONS BY "UNITED FOR CALIFORNIA". THIS ORGANIZATION IS NOTHING MORE THAN A MONEY--LAUNDERING OPERATION FOR GROUPS WHO WISH TO CONTRIBUTE HEAVILY TO POLITICAL CAMPAIGNS WITHOUT BEING IDENTIFIED. I THINK THE TIME HAS COME FOR MY OPPONENT TO COME CLEAN----TO PUBLICLY DISCLOSE THE NAMES OF THESE CONTRIBUTORS AND TO EXPLAIN TO US WHY HE HAS KEPT THEM ANONYMOUS.

THE VOTERS ALSO HAVE A RIGHT TO KNOW WHAT KINDS OF PROMISES AND DEALS MY OPPONENT HAD TO MAKE IN RETURN FOR CONTRIBUTIONS FROM THESE OUTSIDE INTERESTS. I CALL UPON HIM NOW TO REVEAL THE FULL IDENTITY OF HIS LARGEST CONTRIBUTORS AND TO DISCUSS OPENLY AND FRANKLY WHAT HE OWES THEM.