

FACULTY STANDARDS AND AFFAIRS
MINUTES
Draft

Sue Jameson Room
March 28, 2013
1:00 p.m. -3:00 p.m.

Attending: Viki Montera (Chair), Sandra Newton, Eric Williams (recorder) Parissa Tadrissi,
Richard Whitkus, Melinda Barnard, Andy Merrifield (CFA)

Absent: Anthony Gallino (ASI), Paula Hammett

Convened: 1:05 pm

Agenda: SETE Task force moved to 4/11

Minutes of 3/14/13: Approved

REPORTS

Chair (Montera)

- Passed around Statement of Civility from 2/10 for background given recent events - we should look to the Bill of Rights and Responsibilities. This may be taken up by the Senate.
- Discussed SETE Task Force Recommendation with Executive Board. This will be on our next agenda.
- Margie Purser has put faculty budget discussion presentation on the Senate website
- We need to investigate the problems with enforcement of the off campus proctoring policy- Sandy volunteered to talk to Duane Dove and Karen Thompson. Will bring back at next meeting.

AVP (Barnard)

- Met with Suzanne Toczyski- RTP Policy and rankings- Some schools do it, some do not. Discussion with committee. Contract states that even if this is not done, file still moves forward.
- Has gone to all school except B+E about electronic SETE's- Will give us a summary report of the issues and questions, will be meeting every two weeks with the IT workgroup to deal with potential problems (e.g. classes with multiple instructors)
- URTP committee is requesting that we look at doing the RTP process online

AFS (Newton)

- No meeting

FSSP (Whitkus)

- Push for having more post-doc and foreign research fellows funded through grant money
- Need to develop Tech Transfer policy, since it is not covered under current intellectual property policy

- The IDC for this year total \$1.1M to the campus. Of this amount, \$142,180 is the total available for distribution back to the campus. 15% went to the provost office, of which \$25K was for the space rented by NASA, and \$95K was sent to the Schools. Each school Dean will decide on how this is allocated in their respective schools.
- CSU is trying to stabilize RSCAP funding
- Sequestration has affected NSF and NIH grants. CSU has created a white paper to outline how the different funding bodies are dealing with it
- Faculty expo had 25 participants
- There will be a new chair in the fall

PDS (Tadrissi)

- Margie Purser shared data from the faculty retreat
- They will be developing a report for FSAC that includes recommendations
- Workshops are going well, despite some low numbers in recent weeks

ASI (Gallino)

- Not present, but wanted feedback on ASI survey which was discussed below

CFA (Merrifield)

- Met with Vice-Chancellor about rewrites to CANRA- if faculty do the 45 minute training and make a “reasonable” effort to report, they will not be held criminally liable. Faculty are not required to report out in the community, only in those violations that they see in the service of their job.
- SB 520 (proposed by Steinberg (D- Sacramento)) and other similar bills on online learning credits are being discussed. UC senate has already said they will not accommodate such credits, but the CSU would be required to under the law. Statewide senate is opposed and the CFA has a petition circulating to members.
- Legislature seems not to really understand the issue, in their discussion of waitlists and bottlenecks. Also the Steinberg bill would blow up articulation

BUSINESS

ITEM ONE: ICMA Policy Response for Second Reading

- Article III Section B - Senate voted to amend and deleted parts of this section. After some discussion, committee decided that the language could be dealt with when AVP Bernard puts together the procedures- accepted by committee
- Article III Section E- May be an amendment at Senate to add language that allows for faculty to stay current and add materials late, with the concurrence of department chair or faculty designee. Such courses would have a designation that materials are “to be determined.” Committee felt that this language comported with current law, although concerns were raised about monitoring abuse of this section. FSAC was in support of this amendment.

ITEM TWO: Recommendation to the President- CBA Article 15.15

- Rep. Whitkus brought a proposed amendment changing the language of recommendation. The amendment would add language that departments would decide which courses would be evaluated as is currently done with the caveat that the technology must be available to easily do this. After much discussion, Rep. Whitkus withdrew the amendment.
- The recommendation presented previously was approved by FSAC. This will now go forward to the President. (See ----NEED TO REFERENCE)

ITEM THREE: Student Advising Survey

- FSAC was asked to review a survey on advising that AS is planning on distributing. Concerns were raised. Chair Montera will be sending an email to Galliano about the concerns and our recommendation not to send out to faculty. (See ----NEED TO REFERENCE)
-

Adjourned: 2:50 pm