

Academic Planning, Assessment, & Resource Committee

Date: 28 Feb 2017

Time: 3:00 pm -- 5:00 pm

Place: Academic Affairs Conference Room

Present

Michael Visser (Chair), Laura Krier, Kathy Morris, Mark Perri, Daniel Soto, Beth Warner, JoAnn Dapiran, Shawn Kilat, Rachel McClosky, Laura Lupei, Laura Watt

Report from the chair

- Simplified office hours policy being presented this week at Senate.
- BW: Can we add the review approval of off-site locations to the agenda?

Business

Faculty Consultation policy and rationale for rescinding Budgetary Matters Policy

- Executive Committee has requested revisions to the faculty consultation policy. The committee asked for less specificity about APARC and budget to widen the scope. There were no complaints about rescinding the Budgetary Matters Policy.

University Program Review policy revision

- MV scheduling a second reading for the University Program Review policy revision. Requesting feedback on the revision by March 10th. Request that your constituents specifically comment on language in the MOU and language in the template.

Revenue model presentation

- Laura Lupei presenting the tuition revenue projection for the following year.
- We don't expect any new funded enrollment growth next year. This is unusual.
- Our target and funding based on FTE. Revenue based on headcount.
- As headcount gets closer to target, revenue drops.
- FTE to headcount ratio is set at 1.09 which is equivalent to about 13.7 units per semester
- KM: How is this broken out among post-baccalaureates and undergraduates. LL: post-bacs take more units but there are not enough students to significantly move the average university-wide.
- SK: This is only a problem if your institutional cost exceeds your revenue.
- MV: There have to be some cost reductions associated with lower headcounts.
- BW: There are many fixed costs that we can't avoid with less students.

Michael Visser 3/23/2017 8:28 AM

Deleted: non-academic

Michael Visser 3/23/2017 8:28 AM

Deleted: programs

- SK: 1900 first time freshman admissions headcount target and 8-900 transfer headcount targets.
- LL: Freshman headcount target is tied to the number of beds in the dorms.
- MV: How much does this model concern LL?
- LL: What if we went to a student success model instead of a growth model?
- DS: Where are new financial models (success) being discussed?
- MV: How would a 2000 headcount reduction affect our revenue. LL: about 2 million per year less.

Priority Recommendations

- MV: Should this be list be culled or expanded?
- KM: Numbers for the priorities suggest a ranking that is misleading.
- LK: Eliminating bureaucratic obstacles is high priority.
- BW: Improving advising effectiveness is high priority.
- MV will add advising assessment to the first bullet point.
- APARC will increase the focus on assessment in the future and 5c addresses that.

APARC chair for 2017-2018

- MV is interested in serving as the chair.