

## Minutes from EPC April 27, 2006

Steve Bittner  
Sharon Cabaniss  
Carmen Works  
Rick Robison  
Thaine Stearns  
Lindsey  
Marci Sanchez had a Proxy  
Elaine Sundberg was a Proxy for Carol Blackshire-Belay  
Lynn Morrow

Approval of the minutes from the previous meeting

Change from T.S. that his motion was not out of order.

Question – Report the corrections in the previous min on page four.

Perry requested change in the min from the previous meeting on page 4. That the word from amendment be changed to the word attachment

A.W. There are two issues the advising issue and .....

S.C. Wants to change her vote since she didn't vote on it as it stands in the min.

S.B. doesn't see why it can be an amendment vs a attachment

A.W. Communicate with SVM to address this with regard to the freshman year experience.

SB It is in the interest of the FYE pilot not to keep it as a amendment

PM how many want to make Perry's change.

Unanimous vote to keep the word amendment

Chair Report:

1. First reading of FYE in the Senate and May 11<sup>th</sup> is the second reading

SC what is the format?

PM. No statements but not sure. The chair of epc will present the motion and the recommendation.

2. Other items to deal with are program review

Reports:

Vice provost: Long beach compliance with disabilities act which is both a federal and state law.

Liaison to APC TS had no report since he wasn't able to attend the 8:30 am meeting

TEC revised charge EPC chair or a representative of the chair should attend the teaching education meetings

SBC. is working on a document to estimate the amount of money that has been transferred from the office of academic affairs to other parts of the campus.

SB doesn't really go but last time they were working on the course repeat policy.

DQ policy, doesn't mean dairy queen, we changed the USC to deal with the calendar and they are not happy. We want to hear their concerns

#### Business

1. Program Review – Long discussion about how epc wants to get organized to deal with the massive paper load coming down the pipeline. It was established that all EPC does is make recommendations and that we have no real power.

Proposal: The departments that are up for review will present their documents to the committee and those that want to read in more detail can.

Numerous ideas were generate but no real conclusion on how we are to deal with the program review. However Perry Mark did volunteer to read documents, though he will not be on EPC next AY. There will also be some templates for us to use while reading and evaluating the reports from various departments.

Time Certain Four Unit Classes, "The Issue" presented by Paul Draper, chair of the GE subcommittee of EPC

Paul has no clear recommendation from the GE subcommittee and is concerned that 60 units of GE will have to be taken to fulfill the 51 unit requirement. He suggest a special task force be created to solve the four unit problem

Total general education reform

P.M. Seems like your heading in the direction of a task force

SB why is the GE sub not the taskforce?

Lots of discussion about what EPC's role is and why can't the GE sub take care of this. GE is too small to handle this issue and the task force needs to be at a larger level since majors are involved.

All four unit courses that have been proposed are in a holding pattern and this situation will remain until Paul receives a recommendation from EPC

Final suggestion (made by AW) send Paul's report to the curriculum committee of the schools.

We want assessment of the current GE