

**Summer Executive Committee Meeting Minutes**  
Friday, 4:30PM at 724 4th St., Santa Rosa

Convened at 4:38PM

**Present:** Richard J. Senghas, Carmen Works, Thomas Targett, Edward Beebout, Laura Watts. (Summer quorum met.)

Identified Tom Targett as minutes-taker.

Agenda modified to add discussion item regarding possible need for faculty members on hiring search committees for two GMC development officers.

Approval of Past Minutes: not all members present had seen or were familiar with minutes circulated by e-mail, so approval decision deferred until next Executive Committee meeting (likely August).

**Business:**

1) Approval of nominations - see attached statements of interest:

- Deputy CIO for Workstation Support & IT Help Desk
- Deputy CIO for Common Management Systems

The Committee agreed that all nominees were suitable. **Laura Krier & Suzanne Rivoire were appointed for the Deputy CIO for Workstation Support & IT Help Desk** because these two nominees apparently had explicitly expressed interest in this particular positions. **Ali Kooshesh and Mark Perri were appointed to the search committee for the Deputy CIO for Common Management Systems** because they had expressed interested in both searches.

**The Committee decided to name Krier and Rivoire as suitable alternates for the search committee for the Deputy CIO for Common Management**, if the need arises and they are willing to serve. **The Committee decided to name Kooshesh and Perri as suitable alternates for the search committee for the Deputy CIO for Workstation Support & IT Help Desk** if the need arises and they are willing to serve.

**Chair Report:**

R. Senghas indicated that the Provost had authorized a total of 11 faculty searches during 2015/2016, based on enrollment targets and available funding. There was some hope that the budget augmentation requested by the legislature this week might be approved. In that eventuality, there might be a few additional faculty searches authorized. The need for even more faculty lines to keep programs viable was noted. The Chair mentioned CIO Wenrick's announcement of the migration of G-Mail accounts (Seawolf student accounts, as well as faculty & staff accounts for those who use G-mail accounts) to the [sonoma.edu](http://sonoma.edu) domain. Considerable discussion followed when the Chair indicated the GMC Board of Advisors discussions at their 6/12/2015 board meeting included a budget assumption of support of \$800K from the university, with the sources to be determined by the co-executive directors in consultation with the

provost, faculty governance, and student governance. The CFO had assured the Chair that no funds would be taken from instruction or instructional support. However, members noted that while funds might not be taken from instruction, would such allocation reduce the amount of funds that might otherwise have been able to be allocated to instruction and instructional support. Considerable discussion ensued, during which it was mentioned that such support seems a breach of previous assertions that the university would not be asked to support the GMC at the expense of instruction or instructional support. The Chair indicated that he has asked the CFO if he would be willing to give another GMC budget presentation early in the fall semester, and the CFO expressed willingness to do so.

**2) Discussion of necessity of faculty representatives on two Admin II-level development officers for the GMC, one for annual giving, one for corporate sponsorships.**

The Chair indicated that he had received notification this week that two searches for development officers for the GMC at the Admin II level were being initiated during the summer. He had indicated to CFO Furukawa-Schlereth that section II.E of the policy on Faculty Representation on Administrative Appointments appeared to require faculty representation on these searches. However, an Admin II level position in University Development already appears on the policy appendix indicating positions not requiring faculty representation on search committees. Members discussed the appropriateness of determining whether or not the positions were sufficiently analogous to the already-exempted position in University Development to obviate the need for faculty members in these particular searches this summer. Considerable discussion ensued, especially given that the GMC was asking for additional support from the university.

**The Committee decided that the development officer position for corporate sponsorships was sufficiently analogous to the University Development Admin II level position that there would be no need to include faculty representation on this particular search committee.**

**The Committee also decided that the development officer position for annual giving to the GMC also was sufficiently analogous to the University Development Admin II level position that there would be no need to include faculty representation on that search committee, as well.** However, members expressed concern that this position might end up in competition with the university annual fund efforts.

The Chair would notify the CFO & Tammy Kenber (Human Resources) of these decisions.

**Adjourned at approximately 5:45.**