

Student Affairs Committee

16 February 2011, 10:10 am-12pm Darwin 101

Present: J. Mahdavi (Chair, Ed.), D. Romesburg (Soc Sci), M. Lopez-Phillips (SAEM), K. Thompson (Bus), L. Vega (ACE), Brigitte Lahme (for I. Kanaana) and chairing second half of meeting, P. Ramey (AS), R. Lopez (A&H)

Absent: S. Cunningham (Lib) [parental leave], N. Pratt (AS)

Guests: B. Lesch McCaffry

Agenda: Approved.

Minutes of 2/2/10: Approved.

Reports

Chair's Report (J. Mahdavi):

- FSAC, Athletic Council, Attendance policy moving forward to Senate; \$8 million cuts if all of Brown's taxes go through; Provost Search committee on—vote on Moodle; R. Lopez will try to go to Senate in chair's stead.

SAEM Report (M. Lopez-Phillips):

- Looking at budget cut possibilities—hardly anything left to cut on operating expenses, so challenge; GE reform came up at Senate along with new advising challenges given reforms in flux; World of Work looking good; Majors advising fair before fall reg; Black History Month amazing turnout and collaboration this year; Still working on Women's History Month.

ASI Report (P. Ramey):

- Restructuring plan of student governance—instead of two from each school, one from each school and senators for community engagement, sustainability, and other topical concerns. Now three new workgroups to reach more and be more efficient: Representation and Engagement Board to create legislative action plans as example. Details by next time.

ACE Report (L. Vega):

- Considering 238 EOP students to increase diversity on campus; finished probation workshops (50% yield to lift reg holds—most others declared—maybe communicate to Janet); 46 employers in work fair now; April/May push for testing.

Liaison Reports:

- Fee Advisory (K. Thompson): First meeting next week.
- Athletic Council (L. Vega): \$250k deficit (80% of budget staff); incident in soccer w/ Chico—code of conduct to address NCAA concerns; voted to recommend sponsorship from Army.
- No reports: Scholarship Committee (I. Kanaana); Academic Advising (R. Lopez)

Business

1: Disruptive Student Policy/Guidelines:

Revived in 2011 and desire for SAC to work with FSAC. Questions: Is this a policy or guideline? If latter, what's our short, clear policy that could point to this guideline document. Should we have a distinct policy beyond student rights and responsibilities that specifies disruptive behavior? If so, could be four sentences: What's disruption, response, documentation, sanction protocol.

MLP suggests we do so, CU-Boulder developed: Policy (72-hour stay period) and form to fill, send to Judicial Affairs. Then would become part of judicial file for student, so could track across classrooms.

On guidelines: Levels of response perhaps needs verbal warning? Should be written parallel to cheating / plagiarism policy? Jud. Affairs/Student Affairs best practices first.

We need a policy and J. Mahdavi check in with Melinda to figure out way forward: either draft policy w/ MLP or send to FSAC.

2: Review/Discussion the SAEM Review, Analysis and Recommendations:

Needs to be clarified given budget cuts to maximize efficiencies, mostly wants red flags raised if they exist. Cluster reorganization to streamline direct reporting to MLP will create task-based clusters, and Option A seems most desirable. Question about the term "cluster", and response about emphasizing togetherness / grouping / collaborative. Attempt to go away from kingdoms, fiefdoms, silos in Student Affairs into more working group model—human resources sharing (assuming honoring union categories) vs. more constrained budget allocation. "Working groups?" MLP has vetted recs with directors, now identifying cluster leadership. Before budget will be a Student Affairs town hall, seeking regular feedback loops. Email red flags to MLP.

Also, does SAC want to give particular weight to particular salient observations as most critical from our vantage point?

3: Revised Change from Academic Advising Subcommittee (TC 11 am)—Jenn Lillig.

Expanding, making charge more clear for more direct participation in advising.
Membership: Fac reps, student reps (incl. undeclared), ex-officio SAEM, rep from SAC.

Four tasks: Facilitate advising months publicity; clearinghouse for advising issues on campus; I.D. potential advising hotspots, work to advising fac/students; work with admin/students/fac coordinate solution development.

Also preamble: Reps of AAS would serve if assembled Advising Center on campus.

Discussion:

- Task 3: replace "hotspots" with "strength and challenges" and "developing solutions" with "improving performance."
- Should move #4 to the top as the charge.
- Preamble to be moved to fourth task.
- In Membership: move "liaison from the SAC" to list of membership, and Director of Undergraduate Studies.

Will bring to us for second reading with revisions.

4: Consideration of Diversity Stakeholder's Resolution (Guest: B. Lesch McCaffry):

Diversity Stakeholder's Resolution getting a second reading at the next Senate meeting. SAC is being asked to be a co-sponsor. At issue is how to make a sustainable plan since many important issues are not under any one Committee's purview. The idea is to clarify support structure beyond addressing issues of sexual misconduct and student/victim's advocacy. Discussion occurred around some questions such as if there was support for the idea of an exploratory work group or if the resolution could instead require that existing committees do

the tasks requested. Current diversity committees/director don't see these as a direct, pressing charge.

Motion to affirm the resolution. Seconded. Passed.

Adjourned at 11:59 am.

Minutes Respectfully Submitted by D. Romesburg