

FSAC Minutes
February 26, 2004
1:00 to 3:00 P.M.

Present:

Elizabeth Stanny, Bill Houghton for Judith Hunt, Helmut Wautischer, John Wingard, Carmen Works, Carlos Ayala, Jeffery Skinner

Meeting Began at 1:07:

Agenda Approved:

The agenda was amended by adding discussion and action about a University RTP item.

Approval of Minutes:

Minutes were approved.

Reports:

1. ES reported the Student Affairs committee reviewed the course outline policy. There reviews are attached.
2. No report from Faculty Affairs
3. HW discussed how the Academic Freedom Subcommittee discussed the Campus Food Policy. He described how Larry stated that this Policy is outside of the role of academic affairs and that nothing could be done in the Academic Freedom Subcommittee and that these issues should be addressed in the Campus Reengineering Committee. It was recommended that the Academic Freedom Committee move to address the Campus Food Policy Issue in the Reengineering Committee.

Business Items:

1. ES presented to the committee information about the requested change of the membership of the Professional Development Subcommittee. The new language to be included in the membership section of the PD subcommittee policy was offered and agreed upon:

Former members may serve in an associate capacity on the PDS at its pleasure for a one-year term, which may be renewed by the committee.

2. Course Outline Policy: Paul Draper of the GE subcommittee presented language for the course outline policy that would reflect GE's needs. The GE subcommittee believes that GE courses should be linked to the Missions and Goals of G.E. The Policy on Course Outline policy should contain a new bullet for GE:

General Education course syllabi should 1) indicate the G.E. area of the course 2) print the mission of General Education at SSU and 3) demonstrate which G.E. goals and objectives the course addresses.

Additionally, the Course Outline policy would need to be amended by dropping reference to GE in policy bullet 2.

3. ES presented a transfer policy being circulated by CSU Academic Affairs [2.20.04]. The committee discussed the policy. The committee agreed that they needed more information about the Proposed Transfer policy. In particular, what were the motives for the policy? and what about maximum number of units? We need a better understanding of the policy.
4. The University RTP committee requested that the names of the candidates up for RTP at all levels be forwarded to them because in some cases some candidates were expected to submit RTP documents and these were not forwarded to the University RTP. BH stated that faculty affairs could provide such a list to the University RTP.

Meeting was adjourned 2:30