

Fiscal Policies Committee
Discussion on Recommendations for Provost Neuman
2/12/10 11am
BTW 2185 (Provost's Conference Room)

Fiscal Policies Committee:	Nian-Sheng Huang (not present) Jesse Elliott (not present) Liz King (co-chair) Kaia Tollefson Kristen LaBonte (co-chair)	Priscilla Liang Claudio Paiva (not present)
----------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------

Also Invited:
Kris Muller
Judi Le (not present)

MINUTES

1. Approve minutes from 2/4/10 & 1/15/10

- Approved unanimously

2. Indirect cost recovery and faculty equipment allocations

-Define name

Provost's Faculty Resource Fund

-Timeline for this year

March 15 – Announcement Date

April 15 – Submission Due Date

May 15 – Deadline for FPC's Recommendations to Dawn

? – Deadline for Dawn's Office to Notify Recipients and Non-Recipients

Sept 1 – Dispersement of money to academic program

Year End Deadline – Funds must be spent

-Timeline for subsequent years

To be determined later

-Criteria for who can apply

All faculty

-Craft an announcement

To be done the next Meeting.

Include the following:

“specialized equipment”

\$2500 limit, but multiple faculty members can apply in conjunction for more funds
“non-personnel resources”

Equipment or consumable resources (such as data sets or databases)

anonymity

FPC members can apply, but will not review their own applications (and will remain anonymous to other FPC members).

-Application

This year, we will create a FPC email, to be maintained by Kris Muller. Her staff will remove names from applications, code them, and disperse them to FPC members (and ensure that FPC members do not review their own application).

To be included:

Name(s):

Program

Status (select one): Tenure Track Full Time Lecturer Part Time Lecturer

Rank /Title:

Item Requested:

Use (select one): Teaching Research/Creative Activities

-Rubric

a. Need (articulation of problem)

Persuasively articulated (4)	Articulated (3)	Vaguely described (2)	Not evident (1)
------------------------------	-----------------	-----------------------	-----------------

b. Purpose(s) (how or how often used)

Persuasively articulated (4)	Articulated (3)	Vaguely described (2)	Not evident (1)
------------------------------	-----------------	-----------------------	-----------------

c. Anticipated Benefits/Beneficiaries

Persuasively articulated (4)	Articulated (3)	Vaguely described (2)	Not evident (1)
------------------------------	-----------------	-----------------------	-----------------

Additional Points:

Tenure Track (2)

Full Time Lecturer (1)

3. Last night's Research @ CI discussion and budget concerns from faculty.

For next year's Letter to Dawn (or any other opportunities we have), please consider the following ideas & concerns from other faculty:

- We could work with Advancement to create Endowed Research Programs for release time.
- Some advisory committees take a very large amount of time to perform their work, only to have their recommendations turned down. This creates animosity in a group that has very little time to pursue research and creative activities.