

APARC MINUTES 04/18/17

Present: Chair Michael Visser (MV), Laura Krier (LK), Kathy Morris (KM), Mark Perri (MP), Beth Warner (BW), Suzanne Rivoire (SR), Rachel McCloskey (RM), Tim Wandling (TW), Daniel Soto (DS), Laura Watt (LW).

Ex Officio Present: Laura Lupei (LL),

Absent: Jo-Ann Diparin (Ex Officio), Karen Moranski (KM)

Chair Report:

Chair Visser had not formal report. Committee discussed question of new management positions and what kinds of consultation with faculty have taken place with respect to the new positions. Most have not had consolation per MV and LW.

LW and MV briefly discussed ACT meeting from earlier today. Discussion of hiring practices and how best to support interdisciplinary work.

Added new agenda item. (See # 5 below). Agenda items listed in order the committee considered them.

2. Question on program review revision: Why no more review from graduate studies?

MV report that at ExCom he was asked about this change and that it may come up at Senate. Committee discussed this matter. The committee conveyed its general sense that adding GSC back into the process is fine if they wish to be in that loop.

5. T.C. 3:30. Student payment acceptance. Guest David Crozier, Senior Director for University Financial Services. Provided PPT overview of current payment systems. Reviewed fees SSU pays when students pay by credit cards. SSU only CSU campus that does not charge a fee to students using credit cards for payments. Fee is usually 2.75%. General discussion of discouraging use of credit cards. David said in discussion about this they had decided to make more installment plans for students that might not have cash on hand for full payments. Campus is planning to move from current online merchant to CashNet SmartPay. Committee expressed confidence in Chair Visser reporting to Senate that this was presented to us and we appreciated the consolation and see it is as a good move for the campus, particularly as plans will include channeling any savings back to the students themselves.

4. Discussion of feedback on Program Review.

Discussed Excom advice to somewhat soften the link between program revisions and program review. General agreement it is a good idea to encourage timely movement on program reviews, but allow for some exceptions as determined by EPC. Language should state that they “may” be prevented by EPC from making substantive changes to programs if they have not completed a scheduled program review.

3. Locking Darwin and Computer Labs

SR and MP presented examples of issues that affect students and the teaching/learning environment with respect to lab management timing. Some students in CS have been kicked out of labs. Campus and departments have varied practices and policies with respect to labs. MV will report

on this to Stan Nosek, VP of Administration and Finance, and Missy Brunetta in Police Service to work on interim solutions, while ultimately forwarding this matter for consideration by ATIS (Academic Technology and Instructional Space Committee) once it is populated.

Involved discussion of GE committees question about the role of GE in program reviews. General agreement to keep language as written that is to not specify specific consideration of program's contribution to the GE program but to leave that up to each program.

1. University Program Review self-study template. – Did not address except as it came up in item 4, which we did first.

Minutes submitted audaciously by Tim Wandling